

## *THE PROTOCOLS OF LIBERTY*

Over the Gate to Heaven is a sign that reads "Abandon all despair, ye who enter here."

However, this isn't an essay on how to go to Heaven after death, it's about how to change society to create some heaven here on Earth. Yet the world we live in now is far from paradise.

We have all been sold the idea that the world has always been this way; life is hell for the poor and the wealthy have always had the power. But this isn't really the truth. The history of the world you were taught in school was a false history--a clever combination of facts mixed with falsehood. The news that you see on the mainstream television programs is the same. We have all been sold a false model of reality. We've been told a story about the world that isn't true. This story has been designed to enslave us. Anyone who disagrees with this commonly accepted story is condemned as a thought criminal and his ideas discounted as conspiracy theory.

As a proud thought criminal I am inspired by the words of George Orwell, "In a time of universal deceit, telling the truth is a revolutionary act."

I think we need a different story, a story that liberates us with truth. These protocols tell a story to any who will listen. In my involvement with Thought Crime Radio at KOPN and the website, [thoughtcrimeradio.net](http://thoughtcrimeradio.net), I've heard many theories and facts. This is a summary of the most important of the basic principles I've discovered.

### **Protocol #1: Reject Occult Philosophy.**

An occult philosophy is a hidden philosophy. It's based on secret practices and beliefs. But people don't hide what they're proud of, they only hide what they're ashamed of. Anytime someone has a hidden belief system it's always because there's something wrong with it. Usually it's hidden because it's obviously immoral or irrational. So it's believers withdraw from society into some type of secretive cult. For some there is a neurotic attraction to occult philosophies and the cult organizations they inspire. They form the basis of criminal secret societies. So all the advantages and disadvantages that come with being in a criminal secret society also come with these occult belief systems. But, no matter what those advantages may be, these cults are always a type of prison--a trap that threatens your personal liberty.

Freedom is found in openness. It doesn't matter what you believe in as long as it's something that can survive the light of day. Religious or non-religious--theistic or atheistic--you need to believe in something that you can express and practice in public. It is important to be a member of the greater society of humanity. You relate to society through your personal philosophy, so that philosophy must be something you can openly express in public, or you will develop an antisocial personality.

You must also realize that those who keep their beliefs and practices secret aren't to be trusted. Be suspicious of those who belong to cults like the Moonies, Skull and Bones, the Freemasons, the Bilderburg Group, the Trilateral Commission, the Shriners and all the other secretive societies.

## **Protocol #2: Think for Yourself**

Freedom is a mental discipline that must be achieved by individual citizens before it can be actualized as a political system.

You can't evoke the power of your own judgement when you automatically surrender it to the dogma of authority figures and majority opinions. This is not to say that all authority figures are always wrong or that majority opinion is always wrong. But it's a self-evident truth that you can only develop your power of reason by exercising it.

People have the natural ability to intuitively sense what is true; and when they build upon such insights with logic, the quality of reason is manifested. When determining the truth of things, start with what you feel to be true and then honestly analyze why you feel it to be true. Critically question authority and majority viewpoint in considering what you really believe.

However this path of reason requires study and serious contemplation. It's much easier to just find an authority figure who will tell you what to think, or to just watch television and let the boob tube define your opinions. But persons who are lazy in this way become the puppets of tyrants.

Consider the research of social scientists like Asch, Milgram and Zimbardo. Their experiments clearly demonstrate the dangers of conformity to the peer pressure of majority opinion or the submission of one's judgement to authority figures.

Democracy is government by the people as expressed through a majority consensus. But that does not mean that individual voters should enslave their minds to majority opinion. Democracy only works when the voters are thoughtful and free thinking. In a functioning Democracy, someone becomes a leader not by making claims of authority but by inspiring the quality of reason in others.

## **Protocol #3: Define Your Enemy as a Form of Tyranny to be Overcome--Not as a People to be Hated.**

Think about the difference between Martin Luther King Jr. and Adolph Hitler. Martin Luther King defined his enemy well, but he defined his enemy as racism, not as white people. In doing this he was able to get the cooperation of whites in his attacks on racism. By contrast, Adolph Hitler was able to rise to power by blaming everything on the Jewish people, and in doing so promoting an insane hatred for them. The results of Hitler's rise to power were tragic.

This was the problem with the 99% movement; the enemy of this movement was unclear. If the enemy is the wealthiest 1% of society, then what can one do but to hate them; and where else can that lead except to violence?

Personally I interviewed members of the 99% movement on the radio. I also stood out on the street with them. They were essentially non-violent and law abiding. However, the police crackdown on them was violent and unconstitutional. But in spite of the obvious injustice in its suppression, I believe that this movement's real failure was that it never properly defined its enemy. Although spokespersons for the 99% movement did refute the use of violence, the public perception of the movement was that it represented a threat to the families of the wealthiest 1%.

So we have to ask, are the families of the wealthiest 1% really evil? I think the obvious answer to that is "no." However the existing system of government and finance is corrupt to the point where the injustices created by it can no longer be endured. It's obvious to many that human civilization is presently suffering from some type of disease. We see the never-ending wars, the crime, the poverty, and the social injustice. It's easier to define the symptoms of the disease--but it's difficult to name the cause of the disease.

I have tried to define my enemy as the Illuminati Doctrine not as the Illuminati members. This distinction may seem subtle, but it's important. Of course many people have difficulty with the term "Illuminati." So consider this analogy. The word "yakuza" is used by outsiders to refer to anyone involved in any type of organized crime in Japan. Yet in Japan, organized crime is not one simple organization but can, as in most countries, represent a wide variety of activities--anything from violent street criminals to respected corporate employees who operate within gray areas of the law. People involved in organized crime in Japan don't always refer to themselves as Yakuza--only certain groups under certain circumstances. The way that insiders use the word Yakuza is different than the way that outsiders use the word. The same could be said for the Illuminati.

So the Illuminati do in fact exist. It's not a conspiracy theory, although it has inspired countless conspiracy theories. The word Illuminati is just a term to describe a phenomena. It's a name for the criminal secret societies that exist among the wealthy. The poor have criminal secret societies. The middle class have criminal secret societies. And surprise, surprise, the wealthiest 1% are not above having criminal secret societies among their ranks also. Most people, wealthy or poor, aren't in criminal secret societies, but some are.

The criminal groups among the poor and middle class are a police problem; yet the criminal secret societies among the wealthy are a problem for everyone. This includes those members of the wealthiest 1% who are not themselves members of the Illuminati. The Crips and the Bloods are criminal societies among the poor and the middle class. Among other things, the Illuminati launder the drug money made by the Crips and Bloods. However there is a difference. The Illuminati need to recruit their gang members from well-educated and well-off families. So they can't just admit that they're in it for the money. They have to pretend to have an idealist goal that justifies their criminality. The Illuminati's professed goal is to create a utopian world government. But their way to create this utopian New World government is to destroy the civilization of the old world. And that's what they do--they dedicate themselves to destroying civilization. If the Crips or the Bloods are in your neighborhood, they're a problem for you. But no matter where you live on the planet, the Illuminati are your problem.

I realize that you can't completely separate a philosophy from the people who profess that philosophy. Martin Luther King made it clear that he was opposed to racism, not white people. But some white people, like the KKK members, were living epitomes of racism. In fighting against the philosophy of racism, it was sometimes

necessary to fight against the racists themselves.

The KKK in the old South were to blacks what the Illuminati is to everyone on the planet who isn't in the Illuminati. Most members of the wealthiest 1% aren't in the Illuminati. But those who are smart enough to know that it exists still put up with it. They put up with it because they are afraid of it, and they put up with it because it profits them to do so. Anytime a political leader becomes a threat to the power of the wealthiest class, leaders like the Kennedys, Martin Luther King or Abraham Lincoln, then it's the Illuminati who assassinates that leader. So the informed members of the wealthiest 1% put up with the Illuminati--and have put up with them for a long time. This is not unlike the situation in America where most whites weren't racists, but put up with racism because it profited them to do so. Black workers provided low cost, high quality labor. Even those white businessmen who knew racism was wrong put up with it because it profited them to do so.

So let's look at this another way. Credit Swiss admits that the wealthiest .7% of the world holds more than 40% of the wealth--but really it's worse than that. In any honest analysis, the wealthiest 1% of the world's population controls more than half of the world's wealth. Whatever you want to call this group, they do exist. New World Order, Globalist Elites, or Corporatocracy--by any other name, they are the Global Plutocracy.

Even the criminal Illuminati cult isn't in complete control of this Global Plutocracy. This Global Plutocracy is not yet a formal government, but it does exist as a collection of real organizations that are publicly recognizable. The Fed, IMF, World Bank, CFR, Bilderberg Group, Wall Street and international corporations. All of these organizations are guided by the so called "hidden hand of the market," which is the tendency of those who have wealth to use the power which comes from it to acquire even more wealth.

There is no doubt that the leaders of this Global Plutocracy do meet together to make plans, and when they do, they don't share their plans with the public. Consider what is known about the Bilderberg Group, and many similar groups. This isn't a conspiracy theory, it's a conspiracy fact.

I've done a great deal of research on this subject, and many other Deep Politics researchers have done much more research than me. All this research shows that there's really only one conclusion. Virtually every major problem that we face in this world at this time is being caused by this extraordinary imbalance in wealth. The wealthiest 1% can only maintain their Global Plutocracy through a continual oppression of the 99%.

So it's tempting to demonize the 1% and promote hatred for them. And in the past, as a political commentator, in my anger over these social injustices, I have been guilty of demonizing the wealthy. But I've come to realize that this strategy of blaming all problems on the wealthiest 1% is a mistake. It isn't their fault, it's the fault of the Illuminati Doctrine. But they have profited from it and they do bear a moral responsibility in allowing it to continue. Yet Martin Luther King was wise in that he didn't blame white people in general, he blamed racist attitudes. It's more strategic to

attack people's attitudes than it is to fight a violent revolution. Angry rhetoric which makes personal attacks against the wealthiest 1% would only cause them to become more defensive and justify even more oppression of the 99%. Hatred begets hatred begets hatred. And let's face it--the world doesn't need more hatred.

As practical matter, in order to succeed, a revolutionary movement must have an enemy and that enemy must be clearly defined. I would contend that the real enemy isn't the those people who comprise the wealthiest 1%. I would contend that the real enemy is the Illuminati Doctrine that has been used to keep this Global Plutocracy in power.

This Illuminati Doctrine is a set of strategies used by aristocrats to manipulate and control the masses. I have a video on Youtube that talks about the Illuminati Doctrine. The Illuminati Doctrine is a set of ideas created by Adam Weishaupt. He was the founder of the failed Bavarian Illuminati group. But after it was exposed and disbanded, the ideas of this group were adopted by others, like the Rothschild Banking family. The more you study politics and finance, the more you can see that the same tactics are being used over and over. These tactics always give advantages to the wealthy and disadvantages to ordinary people. In order to win freedom for humanity, it's this Illuminati Doctrine that must be attacked. I propose a revolution not of violent actions but of evolutionary ideas that can liberate everyone.

Furthermore I would say that the defeat of this Illuminati Doctrine is to the actual advantage of the families who presently comprise the wealthiest 1%. Consider this comparison. When I was growing up in the 1950s, I saw that most adults, white or black, were resigned to the racist attitudes of society. But Martin Luther King and other activists challenged this system of racism. I remember listening to white adults standing around at cocktail parties talking about civil rights. Many of them felt that if black people achieved their civil rights then they would rise up in violence and seek revenge against whites for all the years of oppression. But in time change did come. Although racism in various forms still exists, things are not as they were. Civil rights did prevail, even to the point that a black man became president. But the blacks never did rise up to slaughter the whites--as so many white adults feared when I was a child. By the same token, I'm sure that many members of this wealthiest 1% feel threatened by the idea that if their Global Plutocracy were ever to fail, the 99% would rise up and slaughter them. But this is not the case.

The fact is that the biggest threat to the future welfare of the families of the wealthiest 1% is the continuation of this Illuminati Doctrine and the criminal secret societies it inspires. As wealth becomes more and more consolidated, and as this imbalance of wealth becomes more and more unfair, it can only result in social instability. The structure of society that makes wealth possible will eventually collapse under the weight of this Global Plutocracy. If that is allowed to happen, then even the wealthiest people in the world will lose everything.

Furthermore, the members of these Illuminati criminal cults are not to be trusted. Their philosophy is one of betrayal. When the time is right to do so, they intend to betray their fellow aristocrats. Whether they realize it or not, the Illuminati Doctrine is

a threat to everyone, even the wealthiest 1%.

Even if most mundane people think of me as a crazy conspiracy theorist for talking about the Illuminati, the most informed members of the 1% know that what I have to say about this threat is true. Therefore it's a mistake to demonize or threaten everyone who comprises the wealthiest 1%. Some of them are beginning to understand that a return to authentic democracy is to their advantage as well.

**Protocol #4: Reestablish in the Minds of the Public the Value of Authentic Democracy.**

Some pseudo-intellectuals like to bad mouth democracy. Trashing democracy has become a hip viewpoint of the media elite. And some anarchists delude themselves into thinking that government can be eliminated completely. And certainly, the corruption in government caused by the influence of this Global Plutocracy has caused many to feel disillusioned with government. But if we are to be honest about it, the United States federal government is no longer a functioning democracy. Washington DC has become a corporate district owned by the Global Plutocracy. Wall Street, the Bilderberg Group and the lobbyists they own dictate policy to the Congress, Executive Branch and Supreme Court. The present day Republicans and Democrats are only pretending to be incompetent, in reality they are treasonous. Most of them have sold out to wealthy interests. This is why nothing they do serves the people anymore.

But in the past, the U.S. was a functioning democracy, and in those days it was an inspiration to the world. When democracy has been allowed to work, it has created wonders. All of the successes of democracy in the U.S. and elsewhere must be promoted into public consciousness. People need to be continually reminded of the virtues of authentic democracy.

Even some life-scientists have begun to observe something like a democratic process in the behavior of animals. Of course they don't vote in a formal way, but animals do send signals to one another when they travel in herds or flocks; and it has been observed that there's a type of collective consciousness which dictates the behavior of the herd or flock. The point that must be made is that democracy is a natural system of organization; biologists can argue whether or not that's true of animals, but it's most certainly true of humans.

I believe that when the Founding Fathers of the U.S. studied the democracy of the Iroquois Indians, they knew that they were studying an ancient system of government. The Iroquois democracy was at least three hundred years old, and possibly older. There is some evidence to show that the Minoan seafaring civilization reached the Americas and even went into the Great Lakes of North America. Consider the possibility that the Minoan civilization was practicing democracy on the level of city states, and that these democratic city states of the Minoan civilization were the inspiration for the democracy of Greece. When the Athenians began their practice of democracy, they weren't inventing democracy, they were rediscovering it. Much of the truth of ancient civilization has been suppressed from public consciousness. If the true story of civilization could be revealed, it would show that

democracy has been used successfully many times in the past.

When democracy is allowed to work, it empowers the collective consciousness of the people to express its wisdom to guide society. This is what Lincoln meant when he said, "Government of the people, by the people, for the people shall not perish from the Earth."

**Protocol #5: Be Resolved that the Global Plutocracy is Destined to Fail.**

Right now the wealthiest 1% of the world seem unbeatable. And there does seem to be a unity of purpose and belief among them. However there are good reasons to believe that this Global Plutocracy will ultimately fail. I had a conversation once with an expert on how games theory and computer analysis can be used to predict future trends, and he explained it to me this way. The present day members of the wealthiest 1% do feel a conditional loyalty to one another. This is created by an environment in which it's easier to exploit and plunder the middle-class and the poor than it is to attack each other. So they have formed temporary alliances with one another. They meet at places like the Bilderberg Group and make plans which benefit themselves, but do harm to the rest of us. But as conditions change, this loyalty that they have for one another will disappear. Consider this analogy.

"Imagine that there are 13 sailors in a lifeboat rowing together towards an island. They have abandoned all the passengers in their sinking ship and now are only looking out for themselves. As long as they are rowing together toward the island they feel loyalty for one another. They know they need to work together to survive. But when that lifeboat reaches the island their conditions will change, and at that point their loyalty to each other ends. It's reliably predictable that once they reach the island they'll start fighting each other. Paranoia and the fear of using up limited resources will compel them to kill each other. If the sailors were able to see their future, they would realize that they would be better off staying with the ship and preventing its' sinking. Although their agreed upon goal is to get to the island--instead of it being their salvation--it holds only certain death for them."

The present day Global Plutocracy will end. There can be no question of that. But it can end in one of two ways. Ordinary people can rise up to eliminate governmental corruption and restore authentic democracy. Or the Illuminati Doctrine can continue to succeed in its suppression of democracy until the wealthiest families of the world have all the power and resources. Then they will turn against one another in brutal violence the way that feudal overlords always have and always will. If the Global Plutocracy succeeds in its goal of complete dominion over the world, it will only succeed in creating the chaotic conditions that will make certain its own self destruction. The truth is that the wealthiest people in the world can only pretend to trust one another. But they can't really trust one another, and the wiser members of the wealthiest 1% know this. Once the protection which comes from having sovereign and democratic republics is removed from the world, the criminal element of the Illuminati will kill off their fellow aristocrats in a final bloody betrayal. In the long run, the restoration of democracy is the only that can protect the aristocratic members of the wealthiest 1%.

Democracy will return. It will return now by an information-war which destroys the Illuminati Doctrine and restores democracy; or it will return in the rebuilding of civilization after our present international civilization has been destroyed by the greed of this Global Plutocracy. But in the end it will be democracy, not plutocracy, which prevails.

**Protocol #6: Personally Reject and Publicly Refute Social Darwinism.**

The way that propaganda works is this: it establishes an unspoken and untrue false premise; two sides then make a debate on a subject in which they seem to disagree about everything, except the unspoken premise upon which both debaters agree. This establishes the unspoken and untrue false premise into the minds of the public. Darwinism itself has become this type of unspoken and unproven premise upon which all of society is expected to agree. There are persons who deny that they believe in Darwinism, and yet they unconsciously accept many of its basic premises.

Charles Darwin did not write his theory of Natural Selection with a finger of fire onto a block of stone so it could be brought down from the holy mountain to the people. Originally it was just a theory of science. And he himself believed that theories of science should be replaced or modified when new information comes along. But Darwinism isn't about Darwin's original theory anymore. Darwinism has become the basis for a political philosophy, and this is why we now find that biology teachers who try to challenge it on the basis of new scientific discoveries are fired from their jobs and blacklisted.

I won't go into all the science of biology, but common sense should tell you that Darwin made up his theory before the breakthrough discoveries of DNA, cellular mechanics and the revelations of quantum physics. As a theory of biology, Darwinism probably should be modified. However that is not the point. Darwinism has become a political philosophy.

Social Darwinism justifies the idea that society should, like nature, be ruled by the survival of the strongest. Although academics have long since rejected Social Darwinism, really it's only the term "Social Darwinism" that has been rejected. The essential beliefs of Social Darwinism still exist and have found expression in many different philosophies and disciplines. Biological Darwinism describes Natural Selection as an amoral process that mechanistically drives evolution without intelligent guidance or intention of any kind. This scientifically archaic notion has nonetheless inspired Social Darwinism which rejects the necessity of morality and justifies cruelty and exploitation as if they were virtues. Social Darwinism was the philosophical foundation for the Eugenics movement that justified the Nazi holocaust.

This use of Social Darwinism is still going on today. This idea that human civilization should be a reflection of the cruelty found in nature has become the justification of certain economic theories such as the Austrian School. This type of economic theory uses absurd explanations for deregulating corporations. Commonly they assert that the economy must be guided by "the hidden hand of the market." This misguided dogma asserts that the economy is a mysterious force of nature which governments shouldn't even try to regulate for the welfare of the people. This prevents



democracies from using proven systems of regulation to appropriately manage the economy for the welfare of society. The truth is that the economy is being guided by the hidden hand of the Bilderberg Group and other mechanisms of the Global Plutocracy.

Everyone in our present day society has been subtly programmed with the premises of Social Darwinism. These notions are used by the Global Plutocracy to justify their economic exploitation of those who have less wealth than themselves. They don't believe that they have any moral responsibility to use their wealth for the benefit of society because they have proven their superiority through breeding. Just as the wolf is superior to the deer it hunts, the wealthy suppose that they are superior to the poor they exploit. They tell themselves that their wealth is proof of their strength, and only the strong should survive because that's the way of natural evolution. And society in general seems to accept this Social Darwinist nonsense. These notions often go unchallenged.

Social Darwinism isn't a theory of science, it's occultic Satanism disguised as science. You can only think freely as an individual when you consciously reject the nonsense of Social Darwinism. And you can only liberate society by refuting its premises.

**Protocol #7: Revitalize democracy through a truther revolution.**

There are many issues about which the general public has been deceived; and ordinary people often seem reluctant to critically challenge these deceptions. Rich Winkel, who was the primary founder of Thought Crime Radio, has a background in the sciences. He often uses scientific analysis in making the case for certain issues. But in doing the show, we have time and time again found that people just don't care. They would rather just accept the authority of the governmental spokespersons and the corporate-owned media. It isn't that ordinary people lack the intellectual ability to understand political corruption--what they lack is the ability to confront evil.

The government presents itself as a substitute parent. This allows citizens to prolong their psychological childhood. It's easier to not take responsibility for your life. To tell the average citizen that the government is criminally corrupt is like telling a child that his daddy is a bad man. These psychological barriers are terribly difficult to overcome because of the emotional security that the concept of government presents. So I understand that persuading people to accept the truth about government corruption is difficult.

A Truther Revolution is the non-violent and legal dissemination of that information which has been suppressed by mainstream media in America. Although this is a non-violent movement, you need to have the resolve of a warrior because this type of dissemination of information is terribly difficult. But democracy requires the consent of the people.

For example, the general public needs to be awakened to the truth that 9/11 was a flag false operation in which the government attacked itself to justify ongoing war. And this is just one of many truther issues. On [thoughtcrimeradio.net](http://thoughtcrimeradio.net) we have, at one time or another, gone into every major issue the the public is being duped on.

A partial list of the major truther subjects that a Truther Revolutionary should know are these: Illuminati Doctrine, Rothschild Family, Central Banking, Federal Reserve, Brownian Economics, Hegelian Dialectic, Iron Mountain Report, 9/11 Truth, False Flag Operations, Oklahoma City Bombing, Albert Pike's Plan for Three World Wars, Depopulation Agenda, Skull and Bones, Rhodes Foundation, Rockefeller Family and Foundation, U.N.'s global agenda, Fabian Strategy, Fabian Society, New World Order, Chem Trails, Club of Rome's Ten Kingdoms, Freemasonry, Shriners, MK Ultra mind control, Monarch programming, Satanic Ritual Abuse, psychiatric abuse, pedophile political rings, human trafficking, Fluoride, GMO foods, Shock Doctrine, NAFTA and other such treaties, circumcision abuse, medical birth trauma, the Panopticon, media consolidation, propaganda, false world history, and the dummifying down of Americans through public education. Until truths like this are successfully disseminated, there is little hope for the return to democracy in America or anywhere else.

Although Thought Crime Radio and [thoughtcrimeradio.net](http://thoughtcrimeradio.net) have been involved with radio and internet dissemination, I personally have a background in sales and professional fundraising. The most transformational form of dissemination is face to face, person to person dissemination. There is a technique for doing this called C.U.T.E., which stands for Contact, Understand, Target and Educate. This technique describes a four part process by which you can recruit new people into your political movement. In it you systematically create a receptive attitude in another person through this process: 1. You CONTACT someone in person--at a party or perhaps an event; you act friendly and show an interest in them. 2. You talk to that person asking questions and listening to him or her until you UNDERSTAND that person's point of view. 3. By understanding that person's point of view you can then estimate what would interest that person and what they can accept as being valid; so then you TARGET an area of information to which they might be receptive. 4. You then talk to this receptive person and EDUCATE him or her on one aspect of the political doctrine which you are trying to disseminate. In this way you recruit people into your political movement by being CUTE. This way of dissemination works with one issue at a time and one person at a time. This is an example of just one technique for creating a grassroots' movement.

Books, audio/visual materials and internet organization can be used to support a grassroots' movement, but until personal connections are made, you just have isolated individuals studying on their own. People have to find some way to organize by developing independent groups of people who work together in person.

The mass media has been consolidated into a relatively small group of corporations. Disney, Time-Warner, Viacom, CBS and a few other interconnected corporations are dominating the mass media which control the mind set of the general public. The decisions about what news is or is not fit to print is now in the hands of a very small group of people. And these powerful people are all disciples of the Illuminati Doctrine.

A grassroots' truther revolution needs to be established for two reasons. First

because the corporate owned mass media is nothing more than Illuminati propaganda. Secondly because people need to learn to think again. Democracy is the product of a thinking people. The more that information is disseminated on a grassroots' level, the more it forces ordinary people to think like investigative journalists. A citizenry of individuals who do their own research into political issues is the only thing that can make democracy work again.

**Protocol #8: Make this Case to the Military and the Governmental Agencies of the United States: if the American Middle Class Falls, You Fall with Us.**

Many Americans are disillusioned with government, and they're right to be--the federal government at the highest levels is taking its orders from lobbyists who basically work for Wall Street and the Global Plutocracy. A non-violent, legal revolution needs to take place. For this to happen, people inside the government as well as the middle class must be unified in purpose. A violent revolution of the people against the government will not work because the government is fully armed, trained and prepared for that.

However, this Global Plutocracy is a threat to the persons who actually make up the government and the military, it's not just a threat to the middle class who are being economically exploited. The Federal Government and the Military require billions of dollars in order to operate. If the middle-class falls, the entire basis of the economy will fall. When the economy falls, the government and military collapse along with it. This is something that needs to be spelled out to those in power positions in the government.

Of course, some wealthy persons as well as some persons in the government are imagining that they can go hide out somewhere if society collapses. If you are a wealthy person or a government employee, you may think that you can run away to some safe place.

But those who are truly informed realize that there are no safe places. I've done a lot of research as well as communicating with knowledgeable insiders. The DUMBs (Deep Underground Military Bases) aren't safe. The FEMA camps aren't safe. The ghost cities of China aren't safe. These are all like the roach motels which advertise, "Roaches check in but they don't check out." If you're stupid enough to seek refuge in one of these places--you may get in, but you'll never leave. These aren't safe havens, they're prisons where you will be killed or brainwashed into slavery. It's only the society of democracy which can make us all safe. Democracy is our common shield, and if you abandon it, you and your family will never be safe again.

Everyone in power positions in the government, as well as the wealthiest 1%, need to be awakened to this truth: In order to save yourself you must save American society, and in order to save American society you must save the economic basis of the American middle class. Don't be like the foolish sailors who abandoned their ship just to find death on a desolate island. Save Our Ship. SOS.

**Protocol #9: Accept the fact that without amnesties, reform of the government won't be possible.**

As ordinary citizens finally wake up to realize the great harm that is being done by

government corruption, some may call for revenge. But survival and freedom are more important than revenge. The liberation of South Africa from the oppressive Apartheid government was made possible only through the use of amnesties. In order to reform a government, forgiveness of the guilty must be possible for those who are willing to make atonement.

For example, there are many people in the government who are guilty of covering-up the truth about the 9/11 false flag operation. They are guilty of treason. But some of these people will be needed to bring about the reform of our government and the restoration of democracy. Those who help in the reform of government and the restoration of democracy should be given amnesty and forgiven their treason. And those who come to lead the Truther Revolution must make it publicly clear that it is amnesty, not revenge, that will be the path to the reform and restoration of democracy. As a practical matter, this is the only way to create positive change.

There is a spiritual truth in the realization that you have to reject revenge and hatred in order to heal society.

**Protocol #10: The best first step in reversing this present economic collapse is to tax Wall Street by taxing derivatives in a specific way.**

To reverse the economic collapse there will need to be an influx of money into the government. Once government is reformed and authentic democracy restored, the government will require money in massive amounts to operate. And society can't function unless citizens can earn living wages. One of the fastest and most practical ways to create jobs for the middle class is for the government to fund the rebuilding of the American infrastructure.

In being involved with Thought Crime Radio I've heard many theories about how such money can be raised. And I'm not saying that there's only one technique that would work. There are many practical approaches to raising income for the government that aren't being used because the Illuminati Doctrine forbids them. The poverty and economic depression which exists around the world is not an accident, it's being deliberately engineered as a mechanism of social control by the leaders of the Global Plutocracy. So there are actually many different methods that could be used to create a general prosperity to be enjoyed by all citizens. But there's one technique I've heard of that makes a great deal of sense when it's examined as a real possibility.

I've been told by financial experts that the appropriate taxation of derivatives in Wall Street could raise huge amounts of money without creating inflation. There are many types of derivatives, but it's been explained to me that they all fall into two general categories. Some derivatives, like corn futures, are used as a type of insurance. If you tax corn futures, you would inflate the price of corn by increasing the costs of its producers. But there are other types of derivatives that are being used like lottery tickets. If you tax these lottery-ticket derivatives, you can generate huge amounts of money.

If the money generated from derivatives is dedicated to infrastructure rebuilding on the level of state governments in the U.S., it would immediately jumpstart the

economy. All levels of government in the U.S. have been corrupted to varying degrees, but the federal level is the most corrupt. The derivative taxes would have to be directly sent to state governments and dedicated to infrastructure building. This would have to be designed in a way so that it only creates jobs for U.S. citizens-- providing the unemployed with living wages again.

**Protocol #11: Elected officials must be brought back to service of the people with a policy of transparency and the strict reform of the campaign process.**

What we need in America is something like a new independent branch of government which polices the other branches of government to fairly eliminate corruption. This would be to the government what Internal Affairs is to the police. This idea is not ridiculous. What's ridiculous is the idea that Congress can fairly investigate itself; that would be like asking the Mafia to investigate the death of Jimmy Hoffa.

Then there is the absurd idea that corporations are people and should have the rights of people--like free speech, and the right to make campaign donations. Corporations aren't too big to fail--but democracy is. Lobbyists and corporations need to be appropriately regulated by government, not the other way around. The campaign process needs to be regulated to make authentic democracy possible again.

Voting fraud has become so rampant in the U.S. to the point to where G. W. Bush became president twice without legitimately winning either election. So widespread voting reform does need to take place.

**Protocol #12: The Fed must be made into a branch of the government and debt-based currency eliminated.**

Brownian Economics explains theories for doing this.

**Protocol #13: A panopticon for wealthy citizens along with benevolent government protection must be provided to the wealthy aristocrats as a way of bringing them into a friendly alliance with the society of democracy.**

Instead of thinking of the wealthiest 1% as enemies to be killed, we must start to think of them as potential allies in the creation of a stable democratic society. The question is how can we reform the attitudes of the super-wealthy so that they serve the society of democracy rather than acting like economic parasites?

One solution would be to actually help them to achieve what most of them really want. I know this sounds like a radical idea to those who want to demonize the 1%, but if we actually help them to achieve their goals in life, they are more likely to assist in the resurrection of authentic democracy. Although this isn't clear to many of them yet, the biggest threat to the families who make up the wealthiest 1% is the criminal syndicate of the Illuminati. It isn't just the poor and the middle class who the Illuminati secret societies want to exploit. Eventually, in their quest for absolute power, they intend to kill most of their fellow aristocrats. The only shield of protection for everyone, including the wealthy, is the resurrection of democracy.

There is no doubt that some members of the wealthiest 1% are egocentric and selfish to the point of insanity; but I think it makes more sense to assume that most of them are decent people who care about their families. My objection isn't to the

existence of a wealthy aristocracy, my objection is to allowing this wealthy aristocracy to rule society. They do this by having meetings together and making plans which are unobserved by society or the government. Secret planning on the part of the wealthy is destructive to democracy and must be put to an end in order for democracy to survive. The way to do this is to reverse the focus of the panopticon.

The panopticon is this concept: If people believe that they are being watched, they will come to regulate their own behavior. There's no question that present day governments are being used to create a Panopticon Prison for all of society--except for the wealthy of course. The phony War on Terrorism is being used as the excuse for this. But we don't really need a panopticon for ordinary people, this is just being used as a system of social control by the wealthy against the middle class.

It's the Illuminati who are the real threats to society. They create the wars that we fight. They create the terrorist attacks. They create the illegal drug trade and profit from it by laundering drug money. They build the prisons to house convicted drug users and profit from cheap prison labor. They do all this because the wealthiest members of society get to make their plans in secret.

The reversal of the panopticon would mean that all business meetings made by large corporations and the wealthy 1% would be made public. No more secret meetings. A degree of privacy might be allowed. Some information might be withheld from the general public, but government representatives would always have the right to look at and listen to any business dealings of the super-wealthy and the large corporations. In this way all the criminal activity which is now being done by the Global Plutocracy would come to an end.

Not all members of the wealthiest 1% use criminal means to acquire and maintain their wealth. In fact, I'm certain that the actual criminal element of this class of society is a minority. But that criminal element of the Illuminati threatens everyone. The reversal of the panopticon would be to the actual advantage of most of the wealthy aristocrats. The ultimate threat that most of these wealthy aristocrats actually face is violence at the hands of the Illuminati. Some of the wiser aristocrats know this already.

The building of a panopticon for wealthy financiers and corporations would not eliminate the ability of wealthy aristocrats to influence governments. Although corporations should never be allowed to make political campaign contributions, there is no reason why individual aristocrats should be denied that right. And this would allow them a degree of power to guarantee the welfare of their families.

Furthermore, the reformed and democratically restored government should recognize the special status of the wealthiest persons in society and provide them with free government protection. The families of the super-wealth should be provided with government bodyguards for themselves and their families. This would not be done in a way that imposes restrictions upon them. They would have the freedom to live the lifestyle they choose. And reasonable privacy would be allowed. But by providing free body guards to protect the wealthy, their business activities could be better monitored to make sure that they don't try to meet in secret and make plans to

use their wealth in a way that undermines democracy.

This would be a covenant between democracy and the wealthy aristocracy. The families who comprise the wealthiest 1% would receive protection from the Illuminati and any other criminals who would attempt to harm them. They would be free to pursue their investments in any legal way they wish. But they would not be allowed to plot treason against the sovereignty of their democratic nations, and they would not be allowed to increase their profits by combining criminal activities with legitimate business enterprises. The CEOs of the largest corporations would have to come under this type of government protection and accept this type of panopticon.

All of this might seem too extreme to those who are naive about what has been going on. But if you do enough research you will find that many corporations do increase their profits with illegal activities such as money laundering and human trafficking. Therefore a benevolent and protective panopticon must be created for those who hold great wealth. Those who inherit great wealth should be allowed to enjoy it, but they must be made to understand that with it--they have inherited a great moral responsibility to their democratic republic.

**Protocol #14: Create appropriate regulations for corporations. Use Fair Playing Field economics to create a Rising Tide economy.**

Right now we don't have a fair playing field for the economy. Various excuses have been used to remove the appropriate regulation of corporations. This has been done to give every advantage to large corporations while making it increasingly more difficult to run small businesses. Fair Playing Field economics means the appropriate regulation of corporations along with governmental support for small businesses.

There is a saying "A rising tide lifts all boats." Once the Illuminati Doctrine is defeated, it will be possible to create a prosperity that everyone can enjoy. This is a Rising Tide economy because it has benefits for everyone. It would create jobs and welfare for the poor. It would create living wages for the middle class. Even the wealthiest 1% would benefit because it would guarantee the stability of that social structure which makes their ongoing wealth possible.

**Protocol #15: The basis of tax structure must be reversed to guarantee lasting prosperity.**

Right now most of the tax burden is on the middle class and the poor while the corporations and the wealthiest citizens are given tax shelters. But it's actually better to give tax breaks to the poor and middle class because when they are given tax breaks, it benefits commerce. The middle class and poor tend to spend money when they receive it, and this stimulates the economy. But if you give billionaires tax breaks, they're not going to run out and buy new refrigerators and other consumer items with their savings. They already have what they want.

The purpose of changing the tax structure would not be to eliminate the wealthy aristocracy through punitive taxation, it simply makes sense to change the tax structure to better create a stable economy that ultimately benefits everyone. It should be acknowledge that some wealthy aristocrats have acquired their wealth because they are wise in how they manage resources and people. Those wealthy

aristocrats who are good at such management are not a threat to society or democracy as long as they play by the rules. However, those wealthy aristocrats who depend on criminal techniques would not do well in a reformed society. With reform, some aristocrats will fail and others will thrive. Those aristocrats more skillful at management would not be affected by greater taxation, and ultimately it's to the advantage of their families because it brings about a more stable social order.

**Protocol #16: Once authentic democracy has been restored and the U.S. government is reformed to eliminate corruption, America should export democracy to the world to create a stable international community of democracies.**

What this means is after democracy has been resurrected in the U.S., the Globalist Plutocracy will still exist elsewhere. The first American revolution freed the people in the U.S., but it left the wealthy aristocrats in control of England. Eventually this European aristocracy was able to take back America by controlling the Fed and by using other methods. In order to guarantee the continued enjoyment of democracy in America, the world must be liberated with the spread of democracy and human rights.

Once a movement to restore authentic democracy has taken back our own nation, we must use our newly restored prosperity and military strength to promote a standardized but decentralized international alliance of democracies. But this would not be done with military invasions and open warfare. This would be done with economic influence, diplomacy, covert actions, and surgical military actions when called for. But more important than these techniques is this idea: if America becomes the role model of a successful democracy--the rest of the world will follow.

The Illuminati Doctrine of Adam Weishaupt called for an all powerful single world government. But this could never work. The former Soviet Union was an almost perfect application of the ideas of Adam Weishaupt, but it was a complete failure. The Illuminati Doctrine intends to create something like the Soviet Union over the entire planet. And in this globalist totalitarian state, the Illuminati members will be to the world what the communist party members were to the Soviet Union. But if they were to succeed, they would only create a global version of the same totalitarian failure which the Soviet Union demonstrated. This is because the more you centralize power, the more you intensify corruption. An all powerful global government simply isn't possible because all power corrupts, and absolute power corrupts absolutely.

What is possible is a type of international governance based on a common usage of democracy. This would not be like the UN which has its own army and often undermines national sovereignty. This would be something like a Forum for International Treaties where diplomacy could be used to get nations to avoid conflict and cooperate for mutual benefit. Nations which enjoy a common structure of government have the potential for peaceful coexistence. Standardization rather than centralization is the way to create a stable and long lasting international government.

It's bankers and dictators who create wars. Ordinary people, who are the ones who are most likely to be sacrificed on the altar of war, do not truly want war. Ordinary humans do not want war, but corrupt governments and decadent aristocrats do want



war. They impose it on the people against their will. But when authentic democracy becomes predominant, war will become archaic.

**Protocol #17: In creating an international alliance of sovereign democracies, the right of individual freedom must be made to prevail.**

You may have heard of the phrase--bringing order out of chaos. Those who want to enslave others often take the tactic of creating what seems like a chaotic event, such as a terrorist attack, and then using that to take away human rights in the name of fighting terrorism. The Illuminate Doctrine defines freedom as a chaos to be eliminated, and it defines order as the rule of tyranny in which freedom is suppressed.

Chaos theory is a branch of mathematics which points out that what seems chaotic often contains an unseen, underlying order. The Many who believe in global government are obsessed with the idea that government should regulate every aspect of society. But the truth is that government is more effective in creating a stable society when it interferes as little as possible with the lives of individuals.

Human rights and individual freedom are not threats to social stability. As the government becomes more and more oppressive, society becomes more and more unstable. Human beings are basically good and human beings are capable of self governance. It's only when an aristocracy attempts to have complete control over society that the basic goodness of people becomes compromised. Instead of bringing order out of chaos, we need to bring freedom out of tyranny.

**Protocol #18: In creating an international alliance of sovereign democracies, the value of diversity must be promoted.**

Complexity theory is a branch of mathematics that often has shown that complex systems are stable because of their complexity. But the Globalist Plutocracy wants to make everything simple. There are proponents of the idea that there should be a single all powerful global government. In that global government there would be one currency, one language, one global culture and one religion. But this type of thinking is totally wrong. Totalitarian governments like the fallen Soviet Union always take this idea to an extreme. In their cultural cleansing of society, they make life hell for their citizens and create a society which always proves to be unstable. Complexity theory shows the value of diversity. There should be many different languages, currencies, cultures, religions, lifestyle choices and races. Diversity is good.

**Protocol #19: In the creation of an international alliance of sovereign democracies, the case must be made that the present trend of globalist commerce must be transformed into a world-wide commerce system which promotes localism.**

This means that international trade should be discouraged. Tariffs are good. Nationalistic economic protectionism is good. Communities should locally produce everything they can. They should grow their own food, and manufacture their own goods. This reversal of trends will reduce pollution by requiring less energy to be used in transportation. Over several generations it will create a slow decentralization of wealth.

Globalism is a type of hidden tax by the wealthy on everyone else. Through

globalism the international corporations become a type of economic parasite whereupon any commerce becomes impossible unless they somehow profit from it. The international corporations make everyone dependent upon them through international trade. This economic Globalism takes power away from small businesses and local governments; it empowers international corporations and the Global Plutocracy. Global commerce is a curse upon humanity.

Not all aspects of globalism are bad. The internet has made the world into a global community, and it's good that people feel connected to their fellow human beings. The exchange of ideas and the mutual appreciation of cultures is good. This encourages world peace and progresses civilization. It's good to have a world where people who live in one country can freely vacation and visit in other countries. But commerce between nations creates waste and pollution, it's a mechanism of global social control by the plutocrats. The economic consequences of this globalist plutocracy has devastated the world and it needs to end. Thinking globally only is good if you do in fact do business locally.

**Protocol #20: In the creation of an international alliance of sovereign democracies, the issues of environmentalism and over-population should be acknowledged, but it should be asserted that the solutions to these problems can be enacted without undermining individual freedoms.**

Someone I knew to be an expert in the use of computers to model social solutions explained to me the concept of parent-qualification. There are two versions of this concept--the plutocratic version and the pro-democracy version. The plutocratic version of parent-qualification must be rejected as oppressive. Plutocratic parent-qualification is the idea that only wealthy people should be allowed to have children. If the Illuminati were to get their way, the middle class would be enslaved, sterilized and used as worker droids by the wealthy. If the Illuminati Doctrine prevails, only the wealthy would be allowed to have families. This would reduce population size, but it would destroy democracy in the process.

There are a lot of arguments about the ideal size for the world's population. Some feel that no control of population growth is necessary. Others want to reduce the human race to half a billion people. The experts I've talked to believe that the present size of about seven billion people in the world can be maintained without harming the environment; but this is only the case if there are changes made in how we organize human civilization.

To sustain the environment we would have to shift from globalism to localism. We would need to redevelop sustainable food production. Eco-friendly technologies would have to be developed. Polluting technologies would have to be forbidden. Planned obsolescence of manufactured goods would have to be made illegal. Everything produced would have to be recyclable. These changes would have to be made on an international basis. And even with that, some regulation of population size would be needed to maintain a stable world population of approximately seven billion citizens because without this regulation, population size will grow at an exponential rate. And all of this would have to be regulated globally by treaties made

once the world is dominated by an international alliance of sovereign democracies.

I know that some people feel that there is no need to have a system to regulate population growth, but my own research doesn't go along with that idea. For one thing, the average lifespan of individual human beings is going to increase. It's certain that, in the future, human beings will commonly live a hundred years or longer--and in good health that entire time. With the elimination of war, famine and disease--human population growth has the potential to grow at an exponential rate which, if unchecked, could destroy all life on Earth. Common sense demands that there must be some form of population regulation, but this can be done in a way which does not undermine authentic democracy.

The pro-democracy, non-plutocratic version of parent-qualified population regulation is this. Anyone who wanted to would be allowed to have children, but government subsidies would only be given to parents who are qualified by a governmental agency. This agency would have to be fair and above corruption. The truth is that not all persons are equally qualified to be parents. However it's difficult to judge who is or isn't going to be a good parent. Disqualification would not be based on Eugenics, which was never anything but a pseudo-science. However, behavioral science does have the ability to make more accurate predictions about an individual's behavior. Governmental parent-disqualification would be based on provable behavioral flaws on the part of wannabe parents. Drug addicts, alcoholics, the mentally ill, poor students, unreliable workers, and persons with criminal backgrounds would be disqualified from receiving government subsidies. The democratically elected government would set guidelines as to how many qualified parents would be allowed every year. Basing their choices on the provable past behavior of couples, gay or straight, who apply for parent qualification, the governmental qualification board would choose those most qualified for the responsibilities of parenting. Various forms of personality tests might also be used in the process. Those couples who qualify would receive full funding for their raising of a child. This funding would come in the form of government subsidies. Because raising a child is expensive, these subsidies could be used to influence the decision by wannabe parents to have children. Without the subsidies, unqualified parents would be required to come up with the money on their own to pay for the food, housing, healthcare, daycare, education and all the other expenses for raising their child. This would not give the government complete control over who had children, but if it was done in a thoughtful way, it could be used to influence population size. Propaganda could be used to discourage unqualified parents from choosing to have a child. If you combined this mechanism of parent-qualification with the predictive tools of the social sciences used in conjunction with computer modeling, you would have the realistic basis for the humane regulation population size.

I know how controversial this idea is, but when you consider the alternatives, I think its merits should be considered. War, disease, and famine are the traditional suppressors of population growth, and pro-democracy parent-qualification seems more benevolent than these.

**Protocol #21: A science of democracy should be developed and promoted.**

Scientocracy is a word that is sometimes used to describe a science for democracy. This would be the use of computer technology and social science to make democracy more functional. Technocracy is a word that is used now to describe the use of social scientists to promote the agenda of the Global Plutocracy. These plutocrats use computer analysis and social science to promote their agenda. Those of us who believe in democracy should fight back in kind. This would mean that with the fall of the Illuminati Doctrine, pro-democracy Scientocracy would replace the Technocracy we now have.

Scientocracy implies something else as well. That is, a society of people who actually understand all of the sciences--the physical sciences as well as psychology and sociology. The mass education of the citizenship in these sciences is necessary to the future development of civilization. Some people dislike the word "scientocracy" because they fear it implies the rejection of spirituality and religion. Personally I find that science and spirituality are complementary to one another and not conflictive at all. I do recognize, however, that science and technology have created many problems for our world, but ignorance is not the solution to these problems. Science is a quest for knowledge, and with higher knowledge--liberation is achieved. Only a society of science-educated citizens could create a desirable future for international democracy.

Presently the public-school education of children is free while higher education costs a great deal of money. All of this is organized for the purpose of plutocratic social control. The high cost of higher education gives advantages to those born into wealth and enslaves others with student-loan debt. Doctors have to submit to the will of corporate-owned hospital policies because they have to pay off their huge student loan debts. Student-loan debt is merely one aspect of the debt-slavery which the Global Plutocracy is imposing upon all of society.

But the Scientocracy approach to government would suggest a different strategy for education. For those who achieve parent-qualification, the cost of primary education for a child would be covered by government subsidies. But instead of higher education being an expense, it would be a part-time job in which the students earn money by accepting higher education. Society is benefited by the education of its citizens. Government's should pay students to learn psychology, sociology and political science. In this way they become better citizens and voters. And the skills of potential workers would be approved by citizens who have training in various technologies and sciences.

**Protocol #22: As world peace emerges, space colonization replaces the military industrial complex.**

I know that many people have said that you can't have both guns and butter. Guns are the military industrial complex and butter is the domestic needs of society. Right now we have too many guns and not enough butter.

But as you awaken to the truth of how the economy really works you come to realize that poverty is being intentionally created as a mechanism of social control.

With a proper utilization of economic resources, there's enough prosperity for both guns and butter.

However, the military industrial complex will become unnecessary after many generations of peace. And an international alliance of sovereign democracies will create peace. As peace emerges, the military industrial complex can naturally evolve into a space colonization project.

Also space colonization will allow the humanity's population to grow beyond the seven billion limit for Earth needed to maintain the ecosystem. The general public will probably never be entirely happy with any method that is used by government to regulate population size. It's a natural instinct for people to have children.

Furthermore, if medical science develops to a point where the human lifespan is thousands of years, the only realistic way to allow for population growth would be through space colonization. These arguments could be used to get the tax payers to accept the governmental funding of space colonization.

Ultimate freedom is the liberty to travel beyond the bounds of the Earth. We humans were meant to colonize the solar system as well as the galaxy and beyond. The heavens are our destiny. And written on the gate to Heaven are these words. "Abandon all despair, ye who enter here."

## CONCLUSION

The conflict between the rule of the people by democracy and the rule of the people by an aristocracy is an ancient conflict. The aristocrats have always had their grand plans for taking over the world and eliminating democracy as a form of government. Although it contains many interesting philosophical notions, Plato's Republic is a plan to reject democracy. The Illuminati Doctrine attributed to Adam Weishaupt is a set of plans and tactics the purpose of which is to create a global government run by supposedly illuminated aristocrats. A plan for Three World Wars, which has been attributed to the Freemason Albert Pike, describes the use of world wars to systematically put Luciferian aristocrats into power. There is the Club of Rome plan to divide the world into Ten Kingdoms ruled by a council of wealthy aristocrats. There is the United Nations' Agenda 21 plan for global control of the population. You can argue about which plans are conspiracy theories and which ones are real. But this is for certain, the wealthiest 1% do make plans without consulting the public, and those plans are always to their advantage and to our disadvantage. The Illuminati Doctrine undermines democracy in favor of the rule of this corrupt Global Plutocracy.

What we need is a people's plan to restore democracy and eliminate the Illuminati Doctrine of Tyranny that has made this world into a living hell for the working poor. These grand plans of the aristocrats can only enslave the 99%, and threatens the 1%. I have been aware of this problem since I was young. I have thought about this problem longer and more deeply than most. Recently, I have felt despair in the contemplation of this problem; however, I found comfort and inspiration by surrendering to God's wisdom through prayer. These 22 protocols of liberty are the product of this inspiration.

The hope of this essay is to stimulate your own thinking. Consider what is your own personal plan is to promote democracy in the world. That plan is the plan that matters.

But any plan requires action. As Frederick Douglass often pointed out, without action, planning is meaningless. So I'll give him the last word, "The limits of tyrants are prescribed by the endurance of those they oppress."